

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/879,151	06/13/2001	Anders Stenberg	010315-104	4114
75	590 09/24/2003			
Ronald L. Grudziecki BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P. P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			EXAMINER	
			ANDERSON, CATHARINE L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3761	0
			DATE MAILED: 09/24/2003	13
				1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

90C (Rev. 07-01)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/879,151	STERNBERG, ANDERS
Advisory Addion	Examiner	Art Unit
	C. Lynne Anderson	3761
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 25 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this a (1) a timely filed amendmen	application. A proper reply to a t which places the application in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	•
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adeevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	lvisory Action, or (2) the date set fort han SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The days been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount ed statutory period for reply originally	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		•
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered I	because:	
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	her consideration and/or sea	rch (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by	materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) 🛛 they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number	er of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted i	n a separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: S		considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOL	LELY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims v		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	S:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		,
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•	
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on i	is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ c	lisapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No	o(s)
10. Other:		WEILUN LO
		SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
		TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

-Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/879,151

Application No.



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The addition of the limitation disclosing the strip part being an integral part of the backsheet will require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments presented are based on the proposed amendment, which will not be entered.